_		
		2
1	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	
2		
3	PATRICK ROGAN, PRESIDENT - absent	
4	TIMOTHY PERRY, VICE PRESIDENT - absent	
5	WILLIAM GAUGHAN	
6	KYLE DONAHUE	
7	MARY WALSH DEMPSEY	
8		
9	LORI REED, CITY CLERK	
10	KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK	
11	COREY EGAN, ESQUIRE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	i l	

	3
1	(Pledge of Allegiance recited and a moment of
2	reflection observed.)
3	
4	MR. GAUGHAN: Roll call, please.
5	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Perry. Mr.
6	Donahue.
7	MR. DONAHUE: Here.
8	MS. CARRERA: Atty. Dempsey.
9	ATTY. DEMPSEY: Here.
10	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
11	MR. GAUGHAN: Here.
12	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Rogan.
13	MR. DONAHUE: I would like to make a
14	motion to appoint Councilman Gaughan as
15	temporary Chair for the Committee on Rules.
16	ATTY. DEMPSEY: Second.
17	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? All
18	those in favor signify by saying aye.
19	MR. DONAHUE: Aye.
20	ATTY. DEMPSEY: Aye.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The
22	ayes have it and so moved. Mrs. Reed, please
23	dispense with the reading of the minutes.
24	MS. REED: THIRD ORDER.
25	3-A. MINUTES OF THE CIVIL SERVICE

This

COMMISSION MEETING HELD DECEMBER 5, 2019. 1 3 - B. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED FROM 2 THE CITY'S BUSINESS ADMINISTRATOR IN RESPONSE 3 TO COUNCIL'S REQUEST REGARDING ALTERNATIVE 4 BUDGET ANALYSIS. 5 3-C. CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED FROM 6 THE CITY'S BUSINESS ADMINISTRATOR IN RESPONSE 7 8 TO COUNCIL'S QUESTIONS REGARDING THE 2020 9 OPERATING BUDGET. 3-D. CHECK RECEIVED FROM COVENANT 10 11 PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH IN THE AMOUNT OF \$1,000.00, WHICH IS PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAXES. 12 13 MR. GAUGHAN: Are there any comments 14 on any of the Third Order items? If not, 15 received and filed. Do any Council members 16 have any announcements at this time? 17 ATTY. DEMPSEY: No. 18 MR. GAUGHAN: I have two. 19 evening's meeting is expected to be the last regular meeting of Council for 2019. 20 21 meetings for December 23rd and 30th will be cancelled. 22 23 The next meeting of Council will be 24 held on the first Monday in January at 10 a.m., 25 and the reorganization meeting will follow at

11 a.m.

Council will meet in caucus tomorrow evening, December 17th at 6:30 p.m., in the City Clerk's Office for the purpose of discussing reorganization for 2020. This will be a public caucus. Everybody is invited to attend. An executive session will be held to discuss personnel matters as well tomorrow.

Mrs. Reed?

MS. REED: FOURTH ORDER. CITIZENS PARTICIPATION.

The following speakers offered public comment on agenda items and matters of general concern:

John Bravo

Dorothy Dietrich

Joan Hodowanitz

Les Spindler

Bob Bolus

MR. GAUGHAN: I'd like to make a motion to take a five minute recess.

MR. DONAHUE: Second.

(A brief recess was taken.)

1	MR. GAUGHAN: Before I call the next
2	speaker up, I just want to say we do have rules
3	of Council that I have in my hand here. I'd
4	like the following, please. You have five
5	minutes to speak. All I'm asking is that you
6	keep to City business and please address the
7	Council and not members of the audience. Thank
8	you.
9	
10	(Public comment continues.)
11	
12	Sharlene Stancavage
13	Robert Stancavage
14	Tom Coyne
15	Lee Morgan
16	Pat Hinton
17	Dave Dobrzyn
18	Marie Schumacher
19	
20	MS. REED: FIFTH ORDER. 5-A.
21	MOTIONS.
22	MR. GAUGHAN: Councilman Donahue, do
23	you have any motions or comments?
24	MR. DONAHUE: My only comment would
25	be in response to Joan about 511. You know, I

haven't seen a copy of any decision yet. So until I'm able to review that I wouldn't have any -- wouldn't be able to give any comment to you on that. And that's all I have for tonight.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you, Councilman Donahue. Attorney Dempsey, do you have any motions or comments?

everyone and thank you for coming. I as well received a letter from the Department of Licensing and Inspection. So I went to do a little investigating today. It was interesting to me because my letter is dated December 2nd and I received it Saturday via certified mail.

So I received it on December 12th.

And it gave me 15 days from the date of the letter, not the day of receipt to take action which would be tomorrow which is difficult for anyone under any circumstances. But luckily I work in a law office.

And I read the body of the letter at least two to three times because quite frankly, from my perspective -- and I send out letters pretty regularly like this. It is an

intimidating letter to anyone who receives it.

And the letter specifically stated that according to City records my above property was listed as a rental unit. I as well have lived in this home for 25 years since the date I purchased it with my family. So I was seeking clarification on that statement because they said according to City records -- and I was thinking what records do they have to prove this because this is an untrue statement.

So I did make some calls today. I did call over to Mr. Oleski who I did not personally hear back from. He did get back to Lori and there was a little bit of a communication delivered to me on that that they were going by a tax record from Lackawanna County, which I live on a corner lot.

And it does specifically say it's a residential single dwelling. But then I thought I'll call over to the number that was given to me and just say my regular name. I didn't say what I was or what I did. And the message I received was that we have gotten tons of calls on the letters that we have sent out. Please bear with us and we will get to you.

So I called a second time because I thought I don't think I heard that right and I did hear that right, currently we are getting a ton of calls regarding the letters that we sent out to everybody, please bear with us and we will get back to you. So I did. I left my number.

And I with everyone else am awaiting the same phone call. So I'm not going to lie. I do like to see some aggressive action with some of these things that we've probably all complained about from time to time. But if it's not done the right way and if it's not done in good faith, I think this created a lot of fear and anger with our residents including me to be quite frank.

I am concerned about elderly people who received this. I got two calls today from people, one was a 77-year-old man who was so afraid he was going to lose his house. He was going down to City Hall today. I did not hear back from him. But I could tell you he had tears in his eyes.

And I heard of but did not speak to someone else who received 16 of these and

really could not even figure out why, how or any relationship.

So I'm also concerned about the cost because from what I understand I am not obviously the only one that received this and it was sent certified mail which I believe -- I could be wrong on this is about \$6.80 per letter.

I talked to some neighborhood leaders as well because again, I wanted to make sure I wasn't overreacting when I received mine over the weekend. And the neighborhood leaders as well had indicated to me that they had had quite a busy weekend receiving complaints on these.

One other man told me -- and this was a little bit alarming that he called and he gave them his number -- his address and they said, oh, no, you're fine. There's no problem. He said that's great. Would you just send me a letter to confirm it? And they said we don't send letters and hung up on him.

So this is not really the customer service that I expect or want. And it really makes me feel much better quite frankly even in

light of Mr. Hinton's comments that we need to go ahead with that full review of the Licensing and Inspection Department because I do think that changes need to be made.

And it's quite ironic to me to be quite honest and candid with everyone that they could send out this letter to me in a house that I lived in for 25 years and, you know, I wish someone would pay me rent, but they don't. I have adult kids who I still let live there for free when one door away from me is a dilapidated falling down structure that my neighbors and I have consistently complained about.

It is a health hazard. It is a safety hazard and nothing has been done to that but they could send out this letter to me. So I'm concerned about the priorities. The letter also says magisterial action will be taken against people. That's concerning me again as well. Why? Any time you file a magistrate complaint, there is a cost associated with it.

Is the City going to file these actions against people? You're going to have, number one, the cost associated with it.

Number two, are they going to go and send employees to these which we're going to have the employees' time out of the office and at magisterial actions or are we going to hire a lawyer and then we're going to have lawyers' costs.

And with all due respect having practiced law for 29 years, if Mary Dempsey is the magistrate sitting up there and a person comes in and says under oath that they are not accepting rent and they have lived in that property, I'm going to dismiss it. So then we're going to have the postage fee, the filing fee, potentially an attorney fee and potentially an employee's time out of the office fee -- cost.

So I plan on fixing this by going into my office tomorrow and creating four notarized statements from me and my three adult children that I have lived in this house for 25 years that I do not receive or accept rent. That having been said, I'm concerned as I always am about our most vulnerable residents, the disabled, the elderly, those who are economically disadvantaged and those who may

not have access to transportation.

They will not be able to get to City Hall. They will not be able to know what to do. So instead of complaining, I also wanted to think of what I thought was a reasonable solution. And I thought the way this could have easily been rectified was if an affidavit of ownership was placed in the envelope.

And then I could have filed it out as the owner, Mary Dempsey, I could have attested to the fact that I do not receive rent and that I reside there myself and that all you would ask them to do is go get it notarized.

And then when the Licensing and Inspection Department receives it back, it would also be subject to the penalties of perjury under Pennsylvania law. They would put it in your file and the issue would be solved.

That having been said, I do have a motion tonight on this matter because I would like to at least get to the bottom of this some way. My motion is to do a letter to Mr. Oleski the acting director. I would like to know, number one, who authored this letter; number two, who authorized this letter; number three,

the number of residents this letter was sent and to. If possible, I would like the list of all people that it was sent to.

I would like just the listing of all the City records that the LIPS Department relied on sending out these letters. In other words, what evidentiary basis did they have to send these to the homeowners in question. I would like to know what was the cost of the total postage bill sent with these letters.

And I would like to know just as importantly what is the plan of action for the people who wrongly received these letters.

And I would like an answer to these questions by Monday, December 30th as I will only be attending one more meeting on January 6th. So that is my motion at this time, gentlemen.

MR. DONAHUE: Second.

MR. GAUGHAN: There's been a motion made on the floor by Attorney Dempsey. It's been seconded by Councilman Donahue. On the question? On the question, I agree with Attorney Dempsey's motion. I too have received several calls from people who have received

these letters.

And I do agree with Attorney Dempsey that we need to receive a response in writing. This is just unacceptable. To say that it's unbelievable is just not accurate. I do believe that -- I could believe that this could happen again. This happened a few years ago. At this point though I just -- I cannot imagine that you would send a letter of that magnitude without making sure the list was correct.

Are there going to be some inaccuracies and maybe some errors, sure. But to send this out and then from what I understand people are calling the Licensing and Inspections Department and they're being told, well, there's really nothing we can do at this time. It's just unacceptable.

As Attorney Dempsey noted, there is many senior citizens who probably received this letter. Maybe some of them will just go and pay it because they don't really know what it is. To send a letter like this out, just a mass letter is simply unacceptable.

If this letter were sent out to people who actually owed for a number of years

I could understand it. Again, I don't understand the thought process behind it.

And I agree with the motion and I would hope that we would receive answers as soon as possible. Anyone else on the question? All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.

MR. DONAHUE: Aye.

ATTY. DEMPSEY: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and the motion is approved.

ATTY. DEMPSEY: Thank you. And I just have one final comment for tonight. This got put aside a little bit because of the audit and because of the budget. But on November 6th, 2019, the Mayor sent the late Mrs.

Novembrino, our Controller a letter which had to do with Chief DeSarno's self-audit.

And without getting into the details of the whole letter because quite frankly it's not relevant. The last paragraph said the following:

I would defer to your office if you would prefer to have the auditor to be hired for the full gas card usage audit review this

information as well.

So in caucus I brought up the following that I do think that is proper. We have been provided copies of what Chief DeSarno put together. And quite frankly, it's difficult to interpret. It really is. So I think it should be done by someone who is an expert in this field.

So I would like to make a motion at this time that Chief DeSarno's self-audit be sent onto Mr. Moretti, our accountant who was hired for the gas card audit for him to review the same and to provide his recommendation regarding the self-audit and whether it is, in fact, satisfactory or not. And if so, how it should be rectified.

MR. GAUGHAN: There's been a motion made on the floor by Attorney Dempsey. Do we have a second?

MR. DONAHUE: Second.

MR. GAUGHAN: Second. All those in favor -- on the question rather? Anyone on the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. DONAHUE: Aye.

.

ATTY. DEMPSEY: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and the motion passes.

 $\mbox{ATTY. DEMPSEY: That's all I have} \\ \mbox{for tonight. Thank you.}$

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. I just have a few things. First, Mrs. Reed, if we can contact -- and I believe this was agreed to when Barry Isett, the company who is going to do the assessment of the Licensing and Inspections Department was here, but can we please contact Barry Isett and ask them for -- to provide regular updates and progress to Council on their assessment of the Licensing and Inspections Office?

I think that this is very important for Council to receive periodic updates. I prefer to do it if it's not in writing -- Actually I'd like it in writing and I'd also like them to come before Council if they can do that.

Moving forward -- and I've asked for this before, these periodic updates and periodic appearances by people who are contracted and work for the City to find out

exactly what they have been doing is important.

And I was shocked tonight.

I was actually shocked when we had the audit firm Kohanski and Company in for a caucus prior to the meeting to find out why we have not received the 2019 audit yet -- or the 2018 audit rather. They provided a list to us, a timeline of the audit proposal. It's three pages.

I'm not going to go through everything. But I want to point out a few things that stuck out to me. May 20th -- or May 6th, 2019, the audit contract was signed by this Council. May 20th, 2019, Kohanski and Company and their staff were onsite at City Hall to start the audit.

Preliminary meeting and planning discussion with City officials, no financial information available. Told by a member of the Business Administration Office that Rainey and Rainey who's the audit prep firm had not started year end work. That's May 20th and no year end work had been started yet.

June 10th, 2019, received pension fund trial balance from Rainey and Rainey

contained obvious errors, returned for corrections. We had asked the firm, you know, what the obviously errors were and they went through them.

And they basically stated to us that these were things that should have been caught. There's no way that it should have made it to their desk -- onto their desk.

June 14th, 2019, Kelly Lindey{sic} from Kohanski responded to City official's e-mail indicating that we would meet the deadline as long as we had trial balances by Monday, July 15th. No information received by that date. There's a pattern here -- going to go through a couple more.

There's a pattern here that the auditing firm went to receive information and they couldn't get it. July 10th, 2019, financial information needed to audit the General Fund, special city, OECD, capital assets and compensated absences is not available. Kohanski and Company leaves the City.

October 31st, 2019, Kohanski and Company received a schedule of expenditures,

federal awards from Rainey and Rainey, received it one month after the due date for filing with the federal audit clearing house.

I think that's what Miss Hodowanitz was referring to. The gentleman from Kohanski explained that if you miss the deadline, you could lose federal funding -- the City could lose federal funding. I think one of the followup questions is have we received anything from the federal audit clearing house and are those funds in danger? We don't know that.

November 1st, Kohanski and Company contacted Rainey and Rainey regarding the amounts on the scheduled of expenditures of federal awards related to OECD expenditures. Kohanski and Company also inquired why one program was excluded from that report.

As of December 16th, 2019, Kohanski and Company has not received a response.

November 18th they received revised OECD trial balance from Rainey and Rainey. Additional errors were noted. You know, I could go through this whole thing.

I would like this, Mrs. Reed, to be placed in Third Order when we have our first

meeting in January because I think the public should see this list. It was to say the least just unacceptable.

I would like to make a motion at this time that this Council ask for a meeting as soon as possible with the Business Administrator and with Rainey and Rainey.

MR. DONAHUE: Second.

MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. DONAHUE: Aye.

ATTY. DEMPSEY: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and the motion passes. We asked continually over the last few years why wasn't the audit in on time. Why wasn't the audit in on time? We received, you know, it will be next month we'll get a draft audit.

It just -- there's definitely an issue here. I don't know exactly what it is.
But from what they said it has to do with
Rainey and Rainey. So I think we need to
figure out exactly what's going on because when you put an RFP out to have an audit done and you continually are late with it, it's just

unacceptable.

As was mentioned here, the gentleman from Kohanski said he's not even sure how you could make decisions based on the budget that we voted on without an audit. It just is unbelievable and unacceptable that we continually year after year do not have the audit on time.

So I cannot wait to hear what the answers are from administration and from Rainey and Rainey. The second thing that I would like to mention is about the residents from Third Avenue who came regarding the truck traffic. I've talked to Mrs. Reed about this. I've talked to Mr. Pocius, the City engineer in length about it.

We're going to put something in writing to Mr. Pocius so that he could respond to us in writing, come up with a game plan for the situation that we find ourself in. They're basically without going into great detail, there are trucks because the Elm Street Bridge is closed, the trucks are now rerouted from the salt plant where Danny Sansky's garage used to be on Elm Street.

And they're now are being rerouted through Third Avenue. So this is causing major issues with noise, major issues with the destruction of some of the sidewalks and the roads among a number of other things. So I have been in touch with one of the neighbors down there and one of the business owners and neighborhood leaders, Mr. Chomko. So we will get that letter out to Mr. Pocius and I will update those neighbors as it progresses.

As for the breaking news I guess on the City losing the Act 511 case, I also can't really comment on it because I have not -- I just heard it as I was coming in tonight, wasn't able to confirm -- although I know my colleagues have heard it as well.

So I haven't read the opinion yet although I think it's obvious that it is -- it would be devastating to the City. But until I actually read it, I can't comment any further. Mrs. -- Miss Schumacher rather talked about the conversion of the Business Privilege to the Mercantile Tax. We do have a copy and it was on our agenda a few weeks ago, the memo from the Pennsylvania Economy League that detailed

all the steps that are involved in that.

And Councilman Donahue is correct that we do need to go before the courts to get it approved. And then I think some time in July is when that process will start to take shape. What the school district is doing at this point I'm really not sure.

But the Pennsylvania Economy League did lay out all the different options. The only other thing I wanted to mention as I mentioned at the beginning of the meeting, this will be our last meeting for 2019. The meetings for December 23rd and 30th will be cancelled.

We're going to pass the legislation in Seventh Order tonight so there will be no reason for us to meet moving forward. The next meeting of Council will be held the first Monday in January, January 6th at 10 a.m. And the reorganization meeting will follow at 11 a.m.

Tomorrow again, I just want to announce we are having a public caucus December 17th at 6:30 p.m., in the City Clerk's Office for the purpose of discussing reorganization,

committee assignments, that sort of stuff for 2020.

And an executive session will be held either before or after this meeting to discuss personnel matters, specifically the City Clerk and the Council Solicitor. I would just like to thank -- and I know we'll thank Attorney Dempsey and the other members of Council who are leaving during the sine die meeting January 6th.

But I do want to take a moment and thank Attorney Dempsey for all the work that she's done. It has been, you know, she was only appointed I think for six -- five or six months. It could have been easy for her to just mail it in and, you know, just go through the motions.

I have never in six years on Council seen anybody work as hard and be as committed to the City as Attorney Dempsey. So I want to personally thank her for all of her hard work and her dedication. And it's been really outstanding. I think we had a really good team. And Attorney Dempsey added a lot of value to being a member of Council. So I want

to thank her for that.

And I want to wish everyone a Merry Christmas and with that we'll wrap it up for tonight. Mrs. Reed, you can proceed. Thank you.

MS. REED: 5-B. No business at this time. SIXTH ORDER. 6-A. No business at this time. SEVENTH ORDER.

7-A. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION
FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 80, 2019 - AMENDING

FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 6, 1976 ENTITLED "AN

ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) IMPOSING A TAX

FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES ON THE TRANSFER OF

REAL PROPERTY SITUATE WITHIN THE CITY OF

SCRANTON; PRESCRIBING AND REGULATING

THE METHOD OF EVIDENCING THE PAYMENT OF SUCH

TAX; CONFERRING POWERS AND IMPOSING THE DUTIES

UPON CERTAIN PERSONS, AND PROVIDING PENALTIES",

BY IMPOSING THE RATE OF THE REALTY TRANSFER TAX

AT TWO AND TWO TENTHS PERCENT (2.2%) FOR

CALENDAR YEAR 2020 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN

FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER.

MR. GAUGHAN: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the

1 Committee On Finance? ATTY. DEMPSEY: As Chairperson for 2 3 the Committee On Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-A. 4 5 MR. DONAHUE: Second. MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? 6 Roll call, please. 7 8 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue. 9 MR. DONAHUE: Yes. 10 MS. CARRERA: Attorney Dempsey. 11 ATTY. DEMPSEY: Yes. 12 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 13 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby declare 14 Item 7-A legally and lawfully adopted. MS. REED: 7-B. FOR CONSIDERATION 15 16 BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -17 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 81, 2019 - AMENDING 18 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 11, 1976, ENTITLED "AN 19 ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) ENACTING, IMPOSING A TAX FOR GENERAL REVENUE PURPOSES IN THE AMOUNT OF 20 21 TWO PERCENT (2%) ON EARNED INCOME AND NET PROFITS ON PERSONS, INDIVIDUALS, ASSOCIATIONS 22 AND BUSINESSES WHO ARE RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF 23 24 SCRANTON, OR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF

SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR

24

25

1

BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON, REQUIRING THE FILING OF RETURNS BY TAXPAYERS SUBJECT TO THE TAX: REQUIRING EMPLOYERS TO COLLECT THE TAX AT SOURCE: PROVIDING FOR THE ADMINISTRATION, COLLECTION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE SAID TAX; AND IMPOSING PENALTIES FOR THE VIOLATIONS", BY RE-ENACTING THE IMPOSITION OF THE WAGE TAX AT TWO AND FOUR TENTHS (2.4%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR RESIDENTS AND ONE (1%) PERCENT ON EARNED INCOME FOR NON-RESIDENTS OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON, FOR WORK DONE, SERVICES PERFORMED OR BUSINESS CONDUCTED WITHIN THE CITY OF SCRANTON FOR THE YEAR 2020 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER.

MR. GAUGHAN: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee On Finance?

ATTY. DEMPSEY: As Chairperson for the Committee On Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-B.

MR. DONAHUE: Second.

MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? Roll call, please.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.

MR. DONAHUE: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Attorney Dempsey.

ATTY. DEMPSEY: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby declare Item 7-B legally and lawfully adopted.

MS. REED: 7-C. FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 82, 2019 - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 43, 2018, AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 100, 1976, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE (AS AMENDED) LEVYING GENERAL AND SPECIAL TAXES FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1977", BY SETTING THE MILLAGE FOR THE YEAR 2020 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER" BY AMENDING SECTION 1 REVERTING BACK TO THE MILLAGE RATES FROM 2016 WHICH ARE .232521 MILLS LEVY ON LAND AND .050564 MILLS LEVY ON ALL BUILDINGS AND BY ELIMINATING THE DEDICATED MILLAGE FOR DEBT SERVICE CONTAINED IN SECTION 2 AND REPLACING THE DEDICATED MILLAGE FOR DEBT SERVICE WITH A DEDICATED DEBT PERCENTAGE OF 33% OF REAL ESTATE TAX REVENUES COLLECTED ON A CURRENT YEAR BASIS.

ATTY. DEMPSEY: I would like to make a motion to table Item 7-C.

MR. DONAHUE: Second.

MR. GAUGHAN: There is a motion on the floor and a second to table Item 7-C. On the question? Yes, on the question just to explain why we're tabling this. Last week as has been noted, Council made the decision to raise taxes 7 mills on land. This is the tax enabling legislation.

We are going to table this for two reasons, number one, we're not exactly sure if the Mayor is going to veto the budget. So if we were to pass this tonight and the Mayor vetoed the budget, we'd have to go back and entertain this again which wouldn't make sense. So that's number one.

Number two, there's question about whether we have to run a special ad for three weeks with this legislation. So what we're going to -- the plan is we're going to introduce this our first meeting back in January. It will be on the agenda for three weeks. It will be amended to recognize the 7 mill increase and then it will be retroactive

to January 1st.

And Mrs. Reed and I have discussed sending correspondence to Mr. Fox the Tax Collector just notifying him that we're going to make that change and that there will be a 7 mill increase on the land, granted that the Mayor does not veto the budget. Anyone else on the question? All those in favor signify by saying aye.

MR. DONAHUE: Aye.

ATTY. DEMPSEY: Aye.

MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The ayes have it and so moved.

MS. REED: 7-D. FOR CONSIDERATION
BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 83, 2019 - AMENDING
FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 147, 1986, ENTITLED "AN
ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE GENERAL
REVENUE BY IMPOSING A TAX AT THE RATE OF TWO
(2) MILLS UPON THE PRIVILEGE OF OPERATING OR
CONDUCTING BUSINESS IN THE CITY OF SCRANTON AS
MEASURED BY THE GROSS RECEIPTS THEREFROM;
REQUIRING REGISTRATION AND PAYMENT OF THE TAX
AS CONDITION TO THE CONDUCTING OF SUCH
BUSINESS: PROVIDING FOR THE LEVY AND COLLECTION

1	OF SUCH TAX; PRESCRIBING SUCH REQUIREMENTS FOR
2	RETURNS AND RECORDS; CONFERRING POWERS AND
3	DUTIES UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR; AND IMPOSING
4	PENALTIES", BY IMPOSING THE BUSINESS
5	PRIVILEGE TAX AT THE RATE OF ONE (1) MILL
6	(.001) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2020 AND THE SAME
7	SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY
8	THEREAFTER.
9	MR. GAUGHAN: What is the
10	recommendation of the Chairperson for the
11	Committee On Finance?
12	ATTY. DEMPSEY: As Chairperson for
13	the Committee on Finance, I recommend final
14	passage of Item 7-D.
15	MR. DONAHUE: Second.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? Roll
17	call, please.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.
19	MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Attorney Dempsey.
21	ATTY. DEMPSEY: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-D legally and lawfully adopted.
25	MS. REED: 7-E. FOR CONSIDERATION

1

BY THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE - FOR ADOPTION -FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 84, 2019 - AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 148, 1986, ENTITLED "AN ORDINANCE AMENDING FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 98, 1976 AS AMENDED, AND IMPOSING A MERCANTILE LICENSE TAX OF 2 MILLS FOR THE YEAR 1987 AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER UPON PERSONS ENGAGING IN CERTAIN OCCUPATIONS AND BUSINESSES THEREIN; PROVIDING FOR ITS LEVY AND COLLECTION AND FOR THE ISSUANCE OF MERCANTILE LICENSES; CONFERRING AND IMPOSING POWERS AND DUTIES UPON THE TAX COLLECTOR OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON: AND IMPOSING PENALTIES EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1987", BY IMPOSING THE MERCANTILE LICENSE TAX AT ONE (1) MILL (.001) FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2020 AND THE SAME SHALL REMAIN IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT ANNUALLY THEREAFTER.

MR. GAUGHAN: What is the recommendation of the Chairperson for the Committee On Finance?

ATTY. DEMPSEY: As Chairperson for the Committee On Finance, I recommend final passage of Item 7-E.

MR. DONAHUE: Second.

MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? Roll

24

23

1 call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue. 2 3 MR. DONAHUE: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Attorney Dempsey. 4 ATTY. DEMPSEY: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 6 I hereby declare 7 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. 8 Item 7-E legally and lawfully adopted. 9 MS. REED: 7-F. FOR CONSIDERATION 10 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -11 FILE OF THE COUNCIL NO. 85, 2019 - APPROVING THE TRANSFER OF A RESTAURANT LIQUOR LICENSE 12 OWNED BY KAYGEECEE, INC. D/B/A J.J. 13 BRIDJES, CURRENTLY IN SAFE KEEPING WITH THE 14 PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD FORMERLY USED 15 16 AT 925 NORTHERN BOULEVARD, SOUTH ABINGTON 17 TOWNSHIP, PENNSYLVANIA, 18411, FOR USE AT 100 18 NORTH MAIN AVENUE, SCRANTON, LACKAWANNA COUNTY, 19 PENNSYLVANIA, 18504 AS REQUIRED BY THE 20 PENNSYLVANIA LIQUOR CONTROL BOARD. 21 MR. GAUGHAN: As temporary Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I 22 23 recommend final passage of Item 7-F. 24 MR. DONAHUE: Second.

MR. GAUGHAN: On the question?

Ro11

1 call, please. MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue. 2 3 MR. DONAHUE: Yes. MS. CARRERA: Attorney Dempsey. 4 ATTY. DEMPSEY: Yes. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 6 Yes. I hereby declare 7 MR. GAUGHAN: 8 Item 7-F legally and lawfully adopted. 9 MS. REED: 7-G. FOR CONSIDERATION 10 BY THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT -11 FOR ADOPTION - RESOLUTION NO. 197, 2019 -AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR AND OTHER APPROPRIATE 12 CITY OFFICIALS FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON TO 13 14 EXECUTE AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH 15 NEIGHBORWORKS OF NORTHEASTERN PENNSYLVANIA, 16 1510 NORTH MAIN AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA 17 18508 TO CREATE, ESTABLISH, AND ADMINISTER THE 18 CITY OF SCRANTON'S HOMEBUYER PROGRAM. 19 MR. GAUGHAN: What is the 20 recommendation of the Chairperson for the 21 Committee on Community Development? 22 MR. DONAHUE: As Chairperson for the 23 Committee on Community Development, I recommend 24 final passage of Item 7-G.

ATTY. DEMPSEY:

Second.

MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? Yes, on the question, first I want to thank the Director of OECD, Mary-Pat Ward. I had several questions about this legislation. I was kind of going back and forth on it until she actually came to the -- at the tail end of the meeting last week and I had a conversation with her outside of chambers.

And this afternoon I posed several questions to her in an e-mail which she immediately responded to. The responses are a little lengthy. I'm not going to go through all of them but I just want to explain two things.

First of all, the way this will work is NeighborWorks will get reimbursed up to 10 percent for each project. So if, for example, NeighborWorks expends \$300,000 on the Homebuyer Program, they're subject to reimbursement of up to \$30,000.

One of the questions that I had was, you know, why would we farm this out? That was my initial concern. You know, why wouldn't we just have OECD's own staff run the whole program. And she made a good point last week

and then in the e-mail today.

She went to a conference regarding all grantees through OECD in Philadelphia. And one of the things on the agenda was the Home Investment Partnership Program. And she explained that regarding the Home Program and municipalities, especially larger cities throughout the state, they -- their staffs have shrunk over time.

And they don't do the direct service work and the compliance at the same time. And she states here the question is how do you self-audit for compliance in an ethical way? So the third party programs can provide the certified training required, time, funding and an opportunity for expanded training and education, receive more technical assistance and has the ability to use technological platforms that the City doesn't currently employ to sell the program.

My last question to her was what would our role be then? What would be the OECD Offices' role since we're farming the program out? And I thought this was an important point that she made. She said our role in the

Homebuyer Program remains key. OECD are the conduits for the money they applied to HUD each year for allocation.

They're the ones required to input
the data collected for each applicant. And as
stated above, they're required to complete
compliance on the program including reporting,
determination of its successfulness and ethical
duties such as conflict of interest.

There's no homebuyer program that all the cities buy in. This is a three year contract. Again, after talking with her I don't see a reason why we shouldn't do this. I think it does make sense. And I think after three years obviously we'll reevaluate it and see how successful or unsuccessful it's been and then obviously we'll go from there. So I'll be voting yes. Anyone else on the question? Roll call, please.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.

MR. DONAHUE: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Attorney Dempsey.

ATTY. DEMPSEY: Yes.

MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.

MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby declare

1 Item 7-G legally and lawfully adopted. FOR CONSIDERATION MS. REED: 7 - H. 2 3 BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -4 RESOLUTION NO. 198, 2019 - RE-APPOINTMENT OF 5 MICHAEL MULLER, 830 TAYLOR AVENUE, SCRANTON, PENNSYLVANIA, 18510 AS A MEMBER OF 6 THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD. 7 8 MICHAEL MULLER'S TERM ON THE HISTORICAL 9 ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD EXPIRED ON OCTOBER 10 11, 2016 AND WAS HELD OVER UNTIL NOVEMBER 20, 2019. HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 11, 11 12 2021. 13 MR. GAUGHAN: As temporary 14 Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I 15 recommend final passage of Item 7-H. 16 MR. DONAHUE: Second. 17 MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? Ro11 18 call, please. 19 Mr. Donahue. MS. CARRERA: 20 MR. DONAHUE: Yes. 21 MS. CARRERA: Attorney Dempsey. 22 ATTY. DEMPSEY: Yes. 23 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 24 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby declare 25 Item 7-H legally and lawfully adopted.

1	MS. REED: 7-I. FOR CONSIDERATION
2	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
3	RESOLUTION NO. 199, 2019 - APPOINTMENT OF
4	THOMAS TANSITS, 17 TERRACE DRIVE, SCRANTON,
5	PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A MEMBER OF
6	THE SCRANTON PARKING AUTHORITY EFFECTIVE
7	OCTOBER 1, 2019. THOMAS TANSITS WILL REPLACE
8	JOSEPH WECHSLER WHO RESIGNED EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY
9	5, 2019. THOMAS TANSITS WILL FULFILL THE
10	UNEXPIRED TERM OF JOSEPH WECHSLER WHOSE TERM IS
11	SCHEDULED TO EXPIRE ON JUNE 1, 2020.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: As temporary
13	Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I
14	recommend final passage of Item 7-I.
15	MR. DONAHUE: Second.
16	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? Roll
17	call, please.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.
19	MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Attorney Dempsey.
21	ATTY. DEMPSEY: Yes.
22	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
23	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby declare
24	Item 7-I legally and lawfully adopted.
25	MS. REED: 7-J. FOR CONSIDERATION

1	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
2	RESOLUTION NO. 200, 2019 - APPOINTMENT OF NORMA
3	JEFFRIES, 619 COLFAX AVENUE, SCRANTON,
4	PENNSYLVANIA, 18510 AS A MEMBER OF THE
5	SHADE TREE COMMISSION, EFFECTIVE OCTOBER 11,
6	2019. NORMA JEFFRIES IS REPLACING ANN MCNALLY
7	WHOSE TERM EXPIRED ON OCTOBER 29, 2017. NORMA
8	JEFFRIES IS APPOINTED TO A FIVE (5) YEAR TERM
9	WHICH WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 11, 2024.
10	MR. GAUGHAN: As temporary
11	Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I
12	recommend final passage of Item 7-J.
13	MR. DONAHUE: Second.
14	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? Roll
15	call, please.
16	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.
17	MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Attorney Dempsey.
19	ATTY. DEMPSEY: Yes.
20	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
21	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby declare
22	Item 7-J legally and lawfully adopted.
23	MS. REED: 7-K. FOR CONSIDERATION
24	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
25	RESOLUTION NO. 201, 2019 - RE-APPOINTMENT OF

1	WILLIAM LESNIAK, 314 PITTSTON AVENUE, SCRANTON,
2	PENNSYLVANIA, 18505 AS A MEMBER OF THE
3	HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD. WILLIAM
4	LESNIAK'S TERM ON THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE
5	REVIEW BOARD EXPIRED ON OCTOBER 11, 2017 AND
6	WAS HELD OVER UNTIL NOVEMBER 15, 2019.
7	HIS NEW TERM WILL EXPIRE ON OCTOBER 11, 2022.
8	MR. GAUGHAN: As temporary
9	Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I
10	recommend final passage of Item 7-K.
11	MR. DONAHUE: Second.
12	MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? Roll
13	call, please.
14	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue.
15	MR. DONAHUE: Yes.
16	MS. CARRERA: Attorney Dempsey.
17	ATTY. DEMPSEY: Yes.
18	MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan.
19	MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby declare
20	Item 7-K legally and lawfully adopted.
21	MS. REED: 7-L. FOR CONSIDERATION
22	BY THE COMMITTEE ON RULES - FOR ADOPTION -
23	RESOLUTION NO. 202, 2019 - APPOINTMENT OF JOHN
24	R. FINNERTY, 622 WINTERMANTLE AVENUE, SCRANTON,
25	PENNSYLVANIA, 18505, AS A MEMBER OF THE

PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF SCRANTON 1 EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 31, 2019. JOHN R. FINNERTY 2 WILL BE REPLACING PASTOR ANTHONY ISMAEL WHOSE 3 4 TERM IS SET TO EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 31, 2019 AND WILL NOT BE REAPPOINTED CREATING A VACANCY ON 5 THE COMMISSION. MR. FINNERTY'S TERM WILL 6 7 EXPIRE ON DECEMBER 31, 2023. 8 MR. GAUGHAN: As temporary 9 Chairperson for the Committee on Rules, I 10 recommend final passage of Item 7-L. 11 ATTY. DEMPSEY: Second. 12 MR. GAUGHAN: On the question? Ro11 13 call, please. 14 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue. 15 MR. DONAHUE: Yes. 16 MS. CARRERA: Attorney Dempsey. 17 ATTY. DEMPSEY: Yes. 18 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 19 MR. GAUGHAN: Yes. I hereby declare 20 Item 7-L legally and lawfully adopted. 21 Before we adjourn, I thanked 22 Councilwoman -- Attorney Dempsey earlier. Ι 23 want to thank first our City Clerk Lori Reed as 24 we adjourn now for the year and we don't come 25 back until January 6th.

I want to thank our Clerk, Lori Reed for all of the work that she has done this This has been a very trying and busy year to say the least. And she does an absolutely fantastic job. I think it's safe to say that there is very few people in this building and in City government that are more respected than her.

She really is the sixth Council You know, it's not just a job where you come in and you file paperwork. She goes to meetings and she represents this body every single day. So I do want to thank her. want to thank the two secretaries in her office Miss Carrera and Miss Marciano.

> ATTY. DEMPSEY: I second that.

MR. GAUGHAN: If there's no further I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

> ATTY. DEMPSEY: Motion to adjourn.

MR. GAUGHAN: This meeting's adjourned.

24

$\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{R} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{F} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Maria McCool,

Official Court Reporter

C

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of

25 the certifying reporter.)